

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,933	CHERNOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunray Chang	2121	

All Participants:
Status of Application: open

 (1) Sunray Chang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kathryn A. Marra.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006
Time: 3:30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 19 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggests an examiner amendment to combine claim9 into claim 1, claim20 into claim 19 to place the application into condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Sunray Chang
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)